

Condition Survey Report- Container Ship

Score	0 / 299 (0.33%)	Flagged items	Actions	0
--------------	-----------------	----------------------	----------------	---

Site conducted

Unanswered

Condition Survey

Type of report:

Ship name:

IMO no.:

Business Group

Date survey completed

Location- survey port

Surveyor's name:

Survey company:

Surveyor's ref. no.:

Order club:

American Club

Club ref. no.:

This report, and any accompanying documentation or photographs, has been compiled for the sole use of the Club for insurance purposes only and should not be disclosed to third parties without prior written permission from the Club. The information contained in this report, and any accompanying documentation or photographs, is not exhaustive as to the general condition of the ship and should not be relied upon by members or by any other party as any assurance, representation or warranty as to the condition of the ship and nothing herein shall prejudice the Club's rights under the insurance policy in the event of a dispute between the Club and the member relating to the condition of the ship.

Disclaimer

The assessors believe the information contained within this risk assessment report to be correct at the time of printing. The assessors do not accept responsibility for any consequences arising from the use of the information herein. The report is based on matters which were observed or came to the attention of the assessors during the day of the assessment and should not be relied upon as an exhaustive record of all possible risks or hazards that may exist or potential improvements that can be made.

Information on the latest workers compensation and OHS / WHS laws can be found at the relevant State WorkCover / WorkSafe Authority.

Inspection

2 flagged, 1 / 299 (0.33%)

1.1 PARTICULARS

1.1.1 Ship's name:

1.1.2 Ex. names:

1.1.3 IMO No:

1.1.4 Flag state:

1.1.5 Builder:

1.1.6 Year built:

1.1.7 Class society:

1.1.8 Class notations:

1.1.9 Ship type & brief description:

1.1.10 GT:

1.1.11 DWT:

1.1.12 Last docking:

1.1.13 Last Class Renewal:

1.1.14 Date of last Special Survey:

1.1.15 Place (port, country) of last Special Survey

CREW MATRIX

Add rank

2. CIRCUMSTANCES OF SURVEY

Describe in brief the circumstances under which the survey was carried out, such as, but not limited to, the date and the time the for the Club

*** Not Applicable (NA) items and Not Inspected (NI) items (giving details of item number)**

Details

2 flagged

2.1 Ship's trading pattern:

2.2 Cargo onboard and last three cargoes

2.3 Master's name:

2.4 Company name on the ISM DOC:

2.5 Name of owner's representative:

2.6 Time under present management

2.7 Ballast tanks inspected (representative number of tanks to be inspected)*:

If no, state reason ballast tanks not inspected and include your comments - whether the copies of reports and photos of previous most recent inspection of the tanks carried out by crew or Class / Vetting surveyors /or ESP records were provided to surveyor for review and what were the observations / condition of the tanks based on those evidence?

2.8 Cargo holds inspected (representative number of holds to be inspected)*:

In case if the cargo holds are not inspected, please state a reason, why not inspected and include your comments - whether the copies of reports and photos of previous most recent inspection of the cargo holds carried out by crew or Class / Vetting surveyors /or ESP records were provided to surveyor for review and what were the observations / condition of the cargo holds based on those evidence? The surveyor shall provide comments on condition of the cargo holds.

2.9 Was a tightness test of hatch covers carried out?

3.1 Survey summary

0 / 55 (0%)

Following the completion of the survey, and based on the surveyor's overall impression of the vessel, the surveyor is requested to rate the following areas (1=excellent 2=good 3=fair 4=poor 5=very poor) and provide remarks if rated Fair, Poor or Very Poor on the reason/s why

Shipboard management:

Safety:

Fire safety:

Life saving appliances:

Pollution and environmental awareness:

Navigation:

Apparent structural condition:

Machinery:

Cargo worthiness:

Shipboard Security and Cyber Security

Maintenance and housekeeping:

* If performing a hatch cover only survey, or "Follow-up survey", please complete this section 3.1 only for those areas that were inspected, but other items are to be marked "N/A".

3.2 Surveyor's summary

Advise on the subject(s) which give rise to the most concern regarding safety of crew, vessel or cargo:

Surveyor's general comments and summary

Survey report enclosures- please upload all survey images- including images of relevant documents

4.1 Class and Statutory Certificates

0 / 2 (0%)

**4.1.1 Are the relevant class and statutory certificates valid?
Does the vessel possess all necessary certification?**

4.1.2 Are certificates without any conditions, recommendations, exemptions or memoranda affecting safety of life, ship, cargo or environment? Attach a copy of the current Class Status Survey, SMC and DOC.

Additional information

4.2 Shipboard management (Section to be completed taking into consideration time under present management)

0 / 13 (0%)

4.2.1 Are internal and external audits carried out at regular intervals? Provide dates of the last internal and external ISM audits. Are any major or repeated non-conformities identified? Dates of last internal and external ISPS Audit.

4.2.2 Are safety meetings carried out at a regular interval and are records kept? How are the safety meeting minutes made readily available for crew review? Is there ship's

Managers feedback to safety committee meetings?

4.2.3 Are non-conformity, accident, and near miss reports raised and handled in accordance with the Safety Management System and is feedback provided from the Company?

4.2.4 Are Master's periodic SMS reviews carried out and satisfactorily reported?

4.2.5 Is a Planned Maintenance System (PMS) implemented and kept up to date? Does it cover machinery, deck equipment, lifting equipment, navigation equipment, critical equipment, critical spares, etc., without overdue maintenance jobs?

4.2.6 Does the vessel have a Critical Equipment List as required by the ISM Code? Is an inventory of critical spares maintained?

4.2.7 Are the DPA, CSO, and IT emergency contact details posted in the common areas and known by crew and officers on board? Are Crew Members familiar with function of DPA and know his Name + email / telephone number?

4.2.7-(a) Provide contact details (Name, Title, Tel, email) of Designated Person Ashore (DPA).

4.2.8 Are there contingency plans onboard to deal with emergencies and spills, as applicable?

4.2.9 Is a muster list available, current and prominently posted in relevant areas?

4.2.10 Is an adequate emergency command structure in place?

4.2.11 Are fire control plans posted, properly maintained and also available externally?

4.2.12 If defects / deficiencies were identified in the last two PSC inspection reports, -have these items been adequately rectified? Date & place of last PSC inspection.

4.2.13 Has the vessel been visited by the ship's shore side Superintendent regularly, at intervals not exceeding 12 months? Provide date of last two visits of technical superintendents and marine superintendent.

Additional information

4.3 Crew

0 / 19 (0%)

4.3.1 Are at least officers proficient in Maritime English to communicate efficiently?

4.3.2 If crew is multinational is there a common language understood by all?

4.3.3 Does the company have a briefing / de-briefing policy for Master/Chief Engineers prior to joining/after signing off?

4.3.4 Is random or specific drug and alcohol testing carried out?

4.3.5 Is manning in compliance with the Safe Manning Certificate?

4.3.6 Are familiarization records available (new joiners) filled out and complete? Are new joiners familiarized within ISM stipulated time frame of joining (typically 48 hours)?

4.3.7 Do the general labor and living conditions onboard appear to be satisfactory?

Note: Any concerns regarding non-compliance with MLC should be mentioned. Cross check the crew list the rest hours records. Bunkering check list to be cross checked with rest hours records to verify proper record keeping of work/rest hours as per MLC/STCW requirements.

4.3.8 Does the member provide any seafarer wellbeing programs to their crew? If so, please list them with a short description.

4.3.9 Is there evidence that the crew use the American Club/IDESS IT Computer Based Training (CBTs) tools, including as a minimum: Clean Seas: Complying with MARPOL 73/38 and Entry into Enclosed Spaces, and/or other non-American Club CBTs, whether onboard or ashore? The crew training records and relevant active PC-software should be demonstrated (if applicable) to the surveyor onboard (type of CBT has to be specified in the survey-report).

Note: Please refer to the description at <https://www.american-club.com/page/education-training-tools>

4.3.10 Is there an appraisal system within the organization and is it followed?

Note: Surveyor to review and comment on the appraisal method, the form(s) in use and whether these forms cover the essential aspects.

4.3.11 Can ship's Officers demonstrate their knowledge on the procedural requirements for enclosed space entry based

on their safety management system and calibration / checking of portable gas detection equipment?

4.3.12 Can ship's Officers demonstrate their knowledge on the procedural actions when a fire alarm is triggered during bridge watch?

4.3.13 Can ship's Officers demonstrate their knowledge on the procedural requirements / actions if there is a failure in critical bridge equipment during sailing such as ECDIS or the radar?

Note: Surveyor may consider the possibility to conduct a drill if time and opportunity permits.

4.3.14 Can ship's Officers demonstrate their knowledge on emergency steering procedures? Operations of the emergency steering gear to be demonstrated by any officer (including junior officers) with the supervision of an experienced engineer to protect the equipment if there is any mishandling.

4.3.15 Can ship's Officers demonstrate their knowledge on a randomly selected operational check list? This should be briefly described by officers as is applicable to the SMS on board. Please list rank of examined personnel.

4.3.16 Can ship's Officers and crewmember demonstrate their knowledge on the permit to work system and the procedural requirements for working aloft based on their safety management system? Are hot work permits and working aloft records kept?

Note: Work permit system compliance include Work permits covering Cold Work Permits, Work Aloft / Overside, Enclosed Space Entry permit, Pressurized systems, Electrical Work Permits, and JHA (Job Hazard Assessment, if applicable for mooring /unmooring) as well as Risk Assessment for high risk operations such as STS etc. Hot work policy on tankers required the shore management office to be informed by email and when authorization is granted only then the vessel may proceed, with the work.

4.3.17 Can the engineering team (not just the Chief Engineer or officer on watch) explain and demonstrate their roles in a dead ship procedure exercise? Please list personnel who were involved in this exercise.

4.3.18 Is Risk Assessment undertaken onboard for various operational situations or crew assignments? Are relevant records kept and in order?

4.3.19 Does the Master and all navigational watch keeping officers hold GMDSS General Operator Certificates?

Additional information

4.4.1 As observed, are safe working practices, including work permit procedures, implemented, and adhered to? Are the work permits closed upon completion of work? Is there an effective lock-out, tag-out and isolation system in place when carrying out maintenance or identifying machinery under repair?

4.4.2 Are portable oxygen and gas detection meters, appropriate to the vessel type and cargo, provided and regularly calibrated? Is there more than one each of these portable devices and all of them in order?

Note: Surveyors to advise how many on board in numbers and if calibration gas is available on board.

4.4.3 Is relevant personal protective equipment and clothing, appropriate to the vessel type and cargo, provided and in use?

4.4.4 Is adequate lighting provided throughout the vessel?

4.4.5 Are alarms from cold stores and freezers in apparent satisfactory condition? Is alarm buzzer located at places which are constantly manned?

4.4.6 Are walkways, stairways, catwalks, ladders, platforms and handrails, as applicable, in apparent satisfactory condition throughout the vessel?

4.4.7 Are mobile safety guards such as rails, lines and wires etc., provided and in use?

4.4.8 Are derricks, cranes and other lifting equipment properly maintained / marked? Have periodical inspections and testing been carried out?

4.4.9-(a) Are the pilot ladders in apparent satisfactory condition, properly marked and certified?

4.4.9-(b) Are the remaining boarding arrangements (e.g., accommodation ladders, gangways, transfer-basket, specialist personnel transfers for offshore installations or OSV-vessels, etc.) in apparent satisfactory condition and safely rigged? Is each arrangement tested and certified by specialized organization at required intervals?

Note: In case if there is a transfer-basket, - the crane has to be approved for it and cranes must have a 'MAN - RIDING' certification.

4.4.10 Are the following Loss Prevention publications

present onboard; Four (4) comic pamphlets, nine (9) comic safety posters?

4.4.11 Is clearly visible cautionary signage posted / displayed at the entrances to mooring decks, including midships winches to warn those involved in mooring operations that the entire area should be considered a potentially hazardous snap-back zone?

Note for surveyor: Owing to the design of mooring decks, the entire area should be considered a potential snap-back zone and all crew working in mooring operations should be made aware of this by clear visible signage. The painting of localized snap-back zones on mooring decks should be avoided because they may give a false sense of security at these entire zones of potential danger.

4.4.12 Are trips, falls and overhead hazards identified and highlighted appropriately? Are the mooring work-areas non-slip and orderly?

4.4.13 Are emergency response drills carried out frequently on board in accordance with SMS, and the records maintained, including drill matrix and detailed log for each drill with comments, evaluation of performance and conducted scenarios? Can crew /officers explain- their last drill scenario and what they learned?

4.4.14 Is there evidence that safety meetings and/or pre-mooring toolbox talks or Job Hazardous Assessment / Analysis (JHA) are carried out prior to each mooring / unmooring operation?

Note for surveyor: Evidence may be documented by Risk Assessment carried out on board prior to arrival / departure at port, JHA document, Log book entry, where it will state that mooring and approach procedure was discussed, or a Pre-arrival check list where moorings are checked / evaluated on a case by case scenario and in accordance with specific mooring operations, including but not limited to mooring by side, by stern, or SBM, or STS static (one vessel anchored), or during slow-speed sailing, double banking mooring, etc.

4.4.15 Are there training records and evidence that suitable training for mooring / unmooring operations is incorporated into training matrix based on vessel's type and applicable specific mooring operations?

Additional information

4.5 Hygienic Standard and House Keeping

0 / 6 (0%)

4.5.1 Are crew galley and pantries clean and tidy? Is fitted equipment in apparent satisfactory condition? Are suitable food handling procedures in place?

4.5.2 Are provision and cold stores clean, tidy and maintained to correct temperature?

4.5.3 Is the general house-keeping standard, including sanitation, satisfactory?

4.5.4 Is the sewage system in apparent good order?

4.5.5 Are first aid kits available at key locations and expiry date is valid (not to be expired)?

4.5.6 Is a system in place to ensure that potable water is maintained in a safe condition? Provide date of last inspection and type of coating in FWT. (review comments below)

Note: FW Tanks have to be inspected at intervals as required by SMS for health issues usually every 6 months. FW tanks use special paint for fresh water which the vessel has to have a drum of 20 liters as spare. Cement coating is used only as a solution of last resort when the required material is not available.

Additional information

4.6 Fire Safety

0 / 17 (0%)

4.6.1 Is the fire detection system in apparent satisfactory condition?

4.6.2 Are fire pumps, mains, hydrants, extinguishers, and monitors in apparent satisfactory condition? Is the fire main isolation valve suitably marked? Is an International shore Connection available and placed outside accommodation and suitably marked?

4.6.3 Are fire stations in tidy condition and is it evident that the firefighting equipment has been tested in connection with firefighting drills?

4.6.4 Are there sufficient self-contained breathing apparatus and spare bottles?

4.6.5 Are self-contained breathing apparatus in good condition sufficiently charged and cylinders within test date?

4.6.6 Are emergency escape sets provided?

4.6.7 Are damage control and fire hose lockers in apparent satisfactory condition?

4.6.8 Are fixed fire-extinguishing systems in apparent satisfactory condition with release instructions posted?

4.6.9 Are combustible and hazardous liquids stored in

designated spaces and provided with Material Safety Data sheets?

4.6.10 Are acetylene and oxygen bottles stored in well ventilated and securely, signed designated places?

4.6.11 Are main and emergency exits clearly marked and unobstructed?

4.6.12 Is the fire integrity, including fire doors, fire dampers, shutters and bulkhead penetrations (where visible) throughout the vessel in apparent satisfactory condition?

4.6.13 Are the machinery rooms and other spaces free from temporary flexible hoses for liquid's transfer?

4.6.14 Are all flexible pipes, hoses and hose assembly installed as designed by original manufacturer only when necessary to accommodate relative movement between fixed piping and machinery parts, and shorter than 1.5 meters, free of sharp bends and not over-twisted?

4.6.15 Are crew-members familiar with firefighting safety equipment? Test the crew knowledge of the type of fire extinguishers provided on board? Can randomly chosen ratings (not engineering officers) explain their roles in the event of a fire emergency?

4.6.16 Is the Fire Plan stowed in a weathertight container with a current crew list?

4.6.17 Is the separate set of explosion-proof or intrinsically safe portable VHF / UHF Radios dedicated to emergency and Firefighting well maintained with chargers and accessories, periodically tested with relevant records and its location is marked on the Firefighting plan? (SOLAS Chapter II-2/10.10.4. Minimum Number: At least two radios per fire party.)

Additional information

4.7 Life Saving Appliances

0 / 10 (0%)

4.7.1 Are lifeboats, rescue boats and their davits operational and in apparent satisfactory condition, including the on-load release mechanism? Are crew-members familiar with which lifeboat they are assigned to and their muster station? Furthermore, they should be able to identify any lifeboat designated as the rescue boat (either port or stbd, if no separate rescue boat? Are lifeboats lowered and tested in water at required intervals? Last date?

4.7.2 Has the manufacturer or their approved representative

serviced the on-load release?

4.7.3 Are life rafts and hydrostatic releases properly secured / fitted and in apparent satisfactory condition?

4.7.4 Are life buoys, self-igniting lights, and MOB of approved type in various locations and in apparent satisfactory condition?

4.7.5 Are life vests of approved type, properly stowed and sufficient in numbers?

4.7.6 Is the medicine locker sufficiently stocked, tidy and contents in date? Is there a first aid manual and are the crew sufficiently trained?

4.7.7 Are signs for safety equipment in place marked with IMO symbols and instructions written in the working language of the vessel?

4.7.8 Are emergency escape route fluorescent markings fitted and in apparent satisfactory condition?

4.7.9 Are pyrotechnics complete, in good order and within date?

4.7.10 Are immersion suits in good order and fitted with the prescribed lights?

Additional information

4.8 Pollution Control

0 / 13 (0%)

4.8.1 Are save-alls and spill containment arrangements in apparent satisfactory condition?

4.8.2 Is the vessel apparently free from any hull, bulkhead, valve or pipe-line leakage, including hydraulic lines, liable to cause pollution or affect safe operations?

4.8.3 Is the vessel provided with an approved SOPEP / SMPEP and, if applicable, a VRP?

4.8.4 Is sufficient oil spill clean-up equipment available as per the SOPEP / SMPEP Manual?

4.8.5 Is the Oil Record Book Part I (and, if applicable, Part II) properly filled out and up to date?

4.8.6 Are bunkering / oil transfer procedures in place, and if observed, adhered to? Is the bunkering gauging system

operational?

4.8.7 Is oily water separator in apparent satisfactory condition, instructions posted and 15ppm monitor calibrated? Can vessel staff demonstrate how to display the electronic data history of the OWS if so equipped? Date last calibration test of OWS oil content meter, certificate available? Confirm no sign of any illegal piping (e.g. to bypass Oily Water Separator)?

4.8.8 Is a Garbage Management Plan in place and is the Garbage Record Book up to date? Is garbage segregation effective? Are garbage bins covered or have lids?

4.8.9 Are appropriate procedures in place for switch over to low Sulphur fuel when trading in relevant areas?

4.8.10 Is there an approved Ballast Management Plan on board and is the Ballast Water Record Book properly completed as appropriate?

4.8.11 What is the status of the ship's implementation plan for compliance with the 0.50% SULFUR LIMIT UNDER MARPOL ANNEX VI Regulation by January 01, 2020? Are there in-line sampling points fitted or designated for PSC to check on a fuel being used in the engines? The Sampling point(s) referred to shall be present "not later than the first renewal survey of IAPP certificate on or after 01 April 2023".

4.8.12 If fitted, is the ship's incinerator in good operational condition? Are there adequate waste oil management methods?

4.8.13 Are the overboard discharge valves secured in the closed position? Is the custody and location of the key for the overboard discharge valve locking device controlled?

Additional information

4.9 Bridge, Navigation and Communication

0 / 16 (0%)

4.9.1 Is bridge navigation and communication equipment in apparent satisfactory condition? Note: Surveyor should additionally check logbooks and weekly printouts. Are UPS/battery back-up/Emergency Power systems in good condition/maintained under ship's PMS?

4.9.1(a) Are Deck logbook and weekly printouts, GMDSS Log Book and other logs records adequate?

4.9.2 Is there an apparent working system in place to correct nautical charts and publications? Are relevant IMO publications onboard (SOLAS, MARPOL, STCW, IMDG Code,

IMSBC Code, ICS/OCIMF, ISGOTT, ICS Tanker safety Guide (Chemicals), Ship to Ship transfer Guide, Code of Safe Working Practices, etc.)?

4.9.3 If applicable, have officers undergone an approved ECDIS training course? (What type of specific familiarization training have the officers undergone?)

4.9.4 If fitted, is the Bridge Navigational Watch Alarm System in apparent satisfactory condition?

4.9.5 If fitted, is the Voyage Data Recorder operational?

4.9.6 If VDR is fitted, is the Master aware of how to save and retrieve data in the event of an incident?

4.9.7 Are regular checks on VDR operation implemented and recorded to ensure that the complete dataset is being correctly recorded? Date of last check?

4.9.8 Are Bridge Procedures, Company and master's Standing Orders, and records in place and followed? Are the occasions on when the Master is to be called specified?

4.9.9 Are navigation lights in apparent satisfactory condition with relevant alarms in working order, and are navigation shapes readily available?

4.9.10 Is passage planning properly carried out and covering berth to berth?

4.9.11 Is emergency communication between bridge-engine room and bridge-steering gear room in apparent satisfactory condition?

4.9.12 Is external weather routing in use for ocean voyages? (specifying what means of weather routing or precautionary reporting are used onboard for the voyage planning and during the voyages. If external weather routing is not provided, include Master's explanation -how is it handled?)

4.9.13 Is the vessel's condition verified and recorded including trim, list, draft, and intact stability prior to sailing? Has a loading / discharge plan been prepared?

4.9.14 Can ship's Officers demonstrate their knowledge on the stability booklet, cargo securing manual and software onboard. Is a sample condition printed out and cross checked with the stability booklet?

4.9.15 Are procedures to vacate anchorage due to impending bad weather in place?

Additional information

4.10 Hull and Deck

0 / 12 (0%)

4.10.1 Is the visible condition of shell plating in apparent satisfactory condition?

4.10.2.(a) Is the visible condition of deck plating in apparent satisfactory condition?

4.10.2.(b) If sighted does the thickness gauging report show areas with steel diminution all below 20% ?

4.10.2.(c) If available – provide date of the last UT thickness measurement report and the average (percentage) diminution of shell, deck, bottom and hold/tank bulkhead plating thickness. Provide a copy of UTM report if available.

4.10.3 Are hull markings legible?

4.10.4 Are vents and air / sounding pipes on deck in apparent satisfactory condition with efficient closing devices and clearly marked with the compartment they serve?

4.10.5 Are deck wiring, piping, bulkhead penetrations and cable runs in apparent satisfactory condition?

4.10.6 Are hatch covers, coamings, stays and connections to deck plating free of cracks / heavy corrosion?

4.10.7 Are weathertight doors and stores hatches fully operational and in apparent satisfactory condition?

4.10.8 Are windlasses, winches, rollers, fair leads, capstans, bollards and mooring lines in apparent satisfactory condition?

4.10.9 Are satisfactory emergency towing arrangements in place and in apparent satisfactory condition?

4.10.10 Are suitable vessel specific emergency towing procedures in place?

4.10.11 Are anchors and visible sections of anchor cables in apparent satisfactory condition? Is the bitter end release mechanism clearly marked?

Additional information

4.11 Ballast Tanks & Void Spaces

0 / 9 (0%)

4.11.1 Are tanks and void spaces and their internal access ladders apparently free from significant wastage, pitting and scale, including bottom plating and protective striker plate(s) under sounding pipe(s)?

4.11.2 Is the corrosion protection (coating / anodes) in apparent satisfactory condition?

4.11.3 Is the inspected steel structure apparently free from buckling / fractures / doublers / temporary repairs / poor alignment etc.?

4.11.4 Are ballast tanks' and voids' manhole covers in apparent satisfactory condition?

4.11.5 Are tanks free from any sign of oil contamination?

4.11.6 Is pipe-work passing through tanks / void spaces in apparent satisfactory condition?

4.11.7 Are ballast valves (hydraulic / manual) and actuating systems, if appropriate, in apparent satisfactory condition?

4.11.8 Is the ballast pumping system fully functional and regularly inspected?

4.11.9 Does the crew conduct ballast tank inspections in accordance with SMS and, if so, at what frequency? Are condition reports maintained onboard and sent to the ship's management office or logged in PMS?

4.11.10 Do the Class records indicate that water ballast tanks and / or voids require re-inspection at annual survey?

Additional information

4.12 Machinery Spaces

0 / 39 (0%)

4.12.1 Are engine compartments, including bilges, clean tidy and free from combustible materials?

4.12.2 Is main and auxiliary machinery in apparent satisfactory condition and free from significant oil or water leakages and/or temporary drains?

4.12.3 Is the engine monitoring and control system fully operational and regularly tested? Provide date of last full blackout test?

4.12.4 Is main switchboard protectively located and surrounded by non-conducting mat?

4.12.5 Is main switchboard earth fault monitoring equipment operational and indicating a satisfactory status?

4.12.6 Are self-closing devices of sight glasses on all oil tanks fully operational?

4.12.7 Are self-closing devices on engine room sounding pipes fully operational?

4.12.8 Are exhaust manifolds on machinery free from leaks and shielded with intact insulation?

4.12.9 Are FO / LO pipes and flanges adequately shielded? Is effective spray protection fitted to the fuel and oil pipes?

4.12.10 Are FO / LO purifiers and FO heaters / LO coolers and filters in apparent satisfactory condition?

4.12.11 Are engine spares properly stored and secured?

4.12.12 Does there appear to be sufficient spare parts?

4.12.13 Are ER pipe systems, sea suction and overboard valves free from apparent deterioration, leaks, temporary repairs and cement boxes?

4.12.14 Are ER gratings in place secured and in a clean and safe condition?

4.12.15 Is the steering gear tested, free from hydraulic leaks and in apparent satisfactory condition? Are auto to manual changeover procedures and emergency steering instructions displayed? Is heading information displayed at the emergency steering position? (>1992)

4.12.16 Are lube oil samples taken from main & auxiliary engines, all major engine room equipment, deck machinery and cranes for analysis at intervals not exceeding 3 months or the period specified in vessel's SMS for particular machinery? Confirm that the test results show the criteria measured to be within acceptable limits.

4.12.17 Are all major engine room machinery items, deck machinery and cranes maintained within the Maker's scheduled intervals? Review engine room management schedule (established PMS intervals) and current running hours to confirm that there are no long overdue jobs and overhauls of main & auxiliary engines and major engine room machinery items.

4.12.18 Are appropriate procedures being followed for verification of fuel suitability, collecting representative bunker samples at ship's bunker-station during bunkering

for testing & comparing to the ISO 8217 standard specification /reviewing results of fuel analysis for each stem of fuel prior to using it for engines onboard?

4.12.19 Are appropriate procedures being followed for onboard fuel-management based on recommendations made in the results of fuel analysis? Is purification efficiency regularly assessed by comparing fuel samples before and after fuel purifier? (Recommended interval not exceeding 6 months).

4.12.20 Are emergency power sources such as emergency generator and batteries in apparent satisfactory condition? Operations of the emergency generator should be started by crew members under the supervision and without the direct guidance of an experienced officer.

4.12.21 Is machinery guarded where appropriate (including coupling guards)?

4.12.22 Are Engine Logbook records adequate?

4.12.23 Are performance reports for Main Engine and Aux-Engine(s) in order and kept on records? Are most recent overhaul reports for Main Engine and Aux-Engine(s) in order and kept onboard together with calibration records of measurement of cylinder liners (and bores), pistons, conrods, bearings, crankshaft, other ancillary components and Turbochargers? (especially for major overhauls made during a shipyard periods)

Additional information

4.13 Shipboard Security and Cyber Security

0 / 16 (0%)

4.13.1 Is International Ship and Port Facility Security Plan (ISPS) in place? Have shipboard security procedures and records, including MARSEC level, access control of visitors prescribed by SPS (ISPS), etc., been inspected and found in order?

Note: The ship's cyber security policy and procedures should be inspected and it should be confirmed that they comprise part of the ship's management system. It should be verified that basic cyber hygiene rules, such as access restriction to shipboard computers and systems, procedures for the update of ENC/ECDIS, password protection, etc., are followed.

4.13.2 Are there Cyber-Security measures in place to control the use of removable media (USB memory sticks, CDs, DVDs, etc.) onboard? Are crew networks isolated from computer systems designated for ship's operations? Are there means for visitors (Surveyors / Cargo inspectors etc.) to print out paperwork on an isolated printer?

4.13.3 Is there an efficient password protection system in place for each ship-board computer?

4.13.4 Is antivirus protection software in place and regularly updated in the ship-board computer systems?

4.13.5 Are servers on board locked / protected from unauthorized access? Who has the keys?

4.13.6 Is there an internet policy for crew onboard and are the crew trained in its proper usage?

4.13.7 Is there evidence in the ship security file of a completed risk assessment establishing the risks of a cyber-attack and countermeasures?

4.13.8 Are contingency and Response procedures for a cyber event/attack in place?

4.13.9 Is the Ship Security Alert System (SSAS) tested quarterly or before entering high risk areas? Are all officers and crew familiar with its location(s)?

4.13.10 Does the vessel have the latest security charts and Best Management Practices (BMP) publications for the applicable high risk transit areas?

4.13.11 Have piracy prevention measures and their implementation been verified and confirmed in order?

4.13.12 Are records of a stowaway search performed before port departure in place, if applicable?

4.13.13 Has a security risk assessment been prepared for a High-Risk Area (HRA) transits, are records kept?

4.13.14 Does the vessel's trading route(s) potentially pass through HRA?

The item 4.13.14 should be "Yes" if vessel is trading worldwide. Otherwise, please describe ship's trading area and mark items 4.13.15 and 4.15.16 as "N/A" - not applicable.

4.13.15 Are ship security supplies available onboard?
This question only applicable if 4.13.14 is answered affirmatively.

4.13.16 Is a CITADEL designated in the Ship's Security Plan and equipped appropriately?

This question only applicable if 4.13.14 is answered affirmatively.

5.1.1 Are cargo hold coatings in apparent satisfactory condition and free from defects which could impair cargo worthiness?

5.1.2 Are dangerous cargo containers stowed in accordance with the document of compliance for dangerous cargo?

5.1.3 If fitted, is the fixed firefighting system in cargo spaces in apparent satisfactory condition? If yes provide type of fixed firefighting system in the cargo spaces.

5.1.4 Are bilge wells clean? Provide photos of inspected bilge wells.

Provide type of fixed firefighting system in cargo spaces

5.1.5 Are bilges regularly sounded and proper logs maintained including records of pumping cargo holds' bilges with volume or depth of water in the bilges and timestamp when pumped?

5.1.6 Are bilge' and water level alarms routinely function tested and results logged? Date bilge alarm/pumping last tested? (Water Ingress Alarms - lower and upper level fitted on bulk carriers and / or water leakage detection and level alarm systems on other types of vessels).

5.1.7 Are bilge non-return valves routinely checked for operation? Review maintenance records to determine when and how they were checked. Date last tested.

5.1.8 Are manhole access covers in apparent satisfactory condition? Are safety securing pins and door hinges in good condition?

5.1.9 Is the steel structure in the cargo spaces apparently free from defects which may impair cargo worthiness?

5.1.10 Is the pipe-work in the cargo spaces in apparent satisfactory condition and suitably protected against mechanical damages?

5.1.11 Are cargo space ventilation arrangements in apparent satisfactory condition?

5.1.12 Are cargo holds suitable for the carriage of the nominated cargoes?

5.1.13 Is cargo stowed in accordance with hazardous cargo codes?

5.1.14 Is cargo stowed and secured in accordance with Cargo Stowage Manual (CSM)?

5.1.15 Do cargo logs have start/stop times, draughts, weather, cargo rates?

Additional information

5.2.1 Are cranes / derricks in apparent satisfactory structural condition?

5.2.2 Is SWL clearly marked on crane / derrick jib and loose gear?

5.2.3 Are crane wires and sheaves in apparent satisfactory condition, regularly inspected by crew with relevant records kept?

5.2.4 Are crane / derrick safety devices apparently operational and regularly tested?

5.2.5 Is slew bearing wear being regularly motored, e.g. by grease sampling or rocking test?

5.2.6 Are the holding down bolts and slewing ring apparently free of significant corrosion?

5.2.7 Is loose gear apparently free from excessive wear and corrosion?

5.2.8 Are crane / derrick electrical / hydraulic systems free from apparent defects?

5.2.9 Are crane cabs, crane access ladders, platforms and safety rails in apparent satisfactory condition and allow for safe access?

5.2.10 Are lifting appliance maintenance records kept?

5.2.11 Is the loose lifting equipment in apparently good order? Are valid test certificates available for loose lifting equipment? Are items of loose lifting equipment marked with a color code for SWL? Is out of service equipment segregated and marked for disposal?

Additional information

5.3 Cargo securing

0 / 22 (0%)

5.3.1 Are cell guides in apparent satisfactory structural condition?

5.3.2 Are fixed lashing points in apparent satisfactory condition and free from excessive wear / corrosion? (e.g. twist lock sockets, D-rings)

5.3.3 (a) Is loose lashing and securing equipment including twist locks in apparent satisfactory condition and free of excessive wear / corrosion?

5.3.3 (b) Are the hatch cover pontoon's lifting-point fittings or D-Rings adequately maintained, free from significant corrosion and apparent excessive fatigue deterioration and in structurally sound condition?

5.3.4 Are ladders and any permanent / lashing bridges / temporary railings in apparent satisfactory condition?

5.3.5 Is the cargo correctly secured in line with the cargo securing manual?

5.3.6 Is deck weight distribution checked in accordance with Cargo Securing Manual?

5.3.7 Are there sufficient reserves of cargo securing equipment?

5.3.8 Are procedures in place to ensure the removal of damaged lashing equipment?

5.3.9 Are lashing inventory records kept?

5.3.10 Are lashing maintenance records kept?

5.3.11 Are electrical container sockets in apparent satisfactory condition?

5.3.12 Is electrical power supply permanently installed from the engine room?

5.3.13 If reefer containers are carried, are appropriate spares and manuals carried on board?

5.3.14 Is there an appropriate system for motoring reefer containers?

5.3.15 Is cargo securing software available and used?

5.3.16 Is the cargo securing software class approved?

5.3.17 Is the cargo dangerous cargo segregation checked in accordance with IMDG Code?

5.3.18 Is there a policy for master to check lashings of cargo secured to flat racks prior to acceptance on board?

5.3.19 Is methodology for securing - containerized (breakbulk) cargo in accordance with the Code of Safe Practice for Cargo Stowage and Securing CSS and the Cargo Securing manual?

5.3.20 Is there a safe access plan available for stevedores?

5.3.21 Do ship's Officers check cargo lashings & stowage during the voyage in cargo holds and on deck? Is this logged?

Additional information

5.4 Safety and Operational tests

0 / 8 (0%)

Were the following tests carried out and found satisfactory?

5.4.1 Engine room bilge high level alarms.

5.4.2 Emergency fire pump with two fire hoses on separate hydrants.

5.4.3 Emergency power sources and emergency lighting.

5.4.4 Engine room remote stops and shutdowns.

5.4.5 Cargo hold bilge suction test.

Additional information, observations, type and condition of strum boxes / strainers. Comments on vessel's log-records for testing bilge suction and non-return valves (flaps) functionality.

5.4.6 Have watertight integrity of all (each) cargo holds been verified, including absence of any leaks detected at any area, including but not limited to upper and lower sections of bulkheads and slopped plating, watertightness of the WBT manhole covers on the tank-top, all ventilation & sounding pipes running through cargo holds? The verification must be conducted by hydro- pressurizing adjacent water ballast tanks (WBT) surrounding cargo holds with simultaneous inspection of adjacent cargo holds. Overflow of ballast tanks to apply a head of pressure is preferred but, if this is not possible due to operational or port regulations, an acceptable alternative is to ensure that the ballast tanks are completely full when surveyor is checking for any water leaks inside the cargo holds.

Additional information, observations, tank numbers that

were not tested or adjacent cargo spaces that could not be inspected.

5.4.7 Water ingress alarm unit for cargo spaces tested satisfactorily and / or- Water level / leakage sensor in cargo holds' bilges (if fitted) tested satisfactorily?

5.4.8 Tightness test of hatch covers and other relevant closing appliances. (if applicable)

Additional information

6.1 Hatch Covers

Has a weather tightness test of hatch covers been carried out?

State which hatch covers tested

State the type of weather tightness test- ultrasonic test is required unless otherwise agreed with the club.

6.1.1 Hatch No (from forward) tested:

6.1.2 Hatch type?

6.1.3 Ultrasonic equipment type: Transmitter, receiver, and date equipment last calibrated:

6.1.4 Initial measurements with open hatch? (Minimum preferred OHV is 40dB). Open hatch value, OHV, and 10% of OHV (dB):

6.1.5 Are the hatch covers in weather-tight condition?

Note: In accordance with the pass/fail criteria generally adopted by IACS: If the dB reading is more than 10% of OHV the hatch cover is not considered weather tight and corrective action needs to be taken.

6.1.6 List measurements with reading > 10% OHV. State hatch no., approximate location, dB reading, and comments.

6.1.7 Surveyor's remarks regarding hatch covers and weather tightness test

6.1.8 Upload image of hatch cover drawing for each hatch cover tested with relevant information such as: Location of linear and spot leaks with measurements. Schematic drawing with cross joints number of pontoons, ventilation, drains, and access hatches etc. Drawing/ image to be marked with hatch cover number (counting from fwd.) and OHV. Images reflecting the general condition of hatch covers and parts to be included.

6.1.9 Are all cargo hatch covers and coamings, including landing pads, in apparent satisfactory structural condition?

6.1.10 Confirm no apparent indications of water or oil leaks in the cargo holds?

6.1.11 Are access hatches and coamings in apparent satisfactory condition?

6.1.12 Are hatch cover panels apparently correctly aligned?

6.1.13 Are compensation bars, landing pads, cleats and cross joint wedges in apparent satisfactory condition and properly adjusted?

6.1.14 Are rubber gaskets in apparent satisfactory condition? Are any repairs correctly performed (paying particular attention to corner pieces)?

6.1.15 Are side and cross joint drain channels and non-return devices in apparent satisfactory condition?

6.1.16 Can hatch covers be closed / opened with undue delay?

6.1.17 Is the chain pull / hydraulic system in in apparent satisfactory condition?

6.1.18 Are hatch cover hinges in apparent satisfactory condition?

6.1.19 Can main and access hatch covers be safely secured in the open position?

6.1.20 Is a Hatch Cover Manual onboard and in a language understood by the crew? State hatch cover manufacturer.

6.1.21 Are hatch covers without any signs or remnants of Ramnek tape, foam, or use of other extra sealants?

Additional information

Signatures

0 / 1 (0%)

Master's signature: (For receipt only)

Surveyor's signature

Are you done inspecting and reporting, and the report is considered to be completed? (email will be sent to the Club if report is completed)
